



09/10/2006 21:04

☐ Urgent☐ Return Receipt

To: <taxreform@fstb.gov.hk>
cc:
Subject: Tax Reform

As part of the Hong Kong citizen, I aware the responsibility of supporting the government to widen the tax income based in creating a healthy financial situation of fiscial policy. But I doesn't agree GST as the only way widening the tax based. Since it involve a huge amount overheading in administration cost and increases the expense of government output by hiding a lot more people carrying the duty in adapting the policy. I wonder why the government haven't consider to reduce the salary by finding way and reform the civil servant employment agreement. As most of our Hong Kong citizens aware, the salary and pension scheme of many civil servant are extreme high in comparing with the existing employment market. If the government can reduce the expense in civil servant salary, the amount return from such saving might be same as the income gain from GST. I recognize the government are so keen to widen the tax income based, but without review the expenditure of government expense such as civil servant salary package, people would not feel comfort to support the government in tax reform. With Housing Authority can amend their based in counting the rental increment, how come the policy maker doesn't have the intelligence to reduce the salary package output of civil servant.

Best Regards,

Alan Wan